Comparison of cross-platform and platform-specific frameworks for mobile development
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**Abstract** Mobile phones are everywhere. They are used as cellphones, communication channels and as a personal computer. Therefore they function more and more as a source of entertainment and information. This paper contains the process of the development of a tv guide application for mobile. Since there are many options on which framework an app can be developed, a research for which framework is the most compatible for such development could provide useful information. This year we’ve created this mobile application for two different frameworks, while Zappware provided the native iOS and Android version. Those applications were written in React Native and Xamarin. We document specific differences in various areas and weigh them against the native ones. This document also provides information about two other cross-platform frameworks: Apache Cordova and Qt.
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# INTRODUCTION[[1]](#footnote-1)

M

obile applications can be created in various ways. The most natural way to develop them is by writing the iOS app through XCode in Swift, and the Android app trough Android Studio in e.g. Java or Kotlin. This means there is a need for two separate fully developed applications. This research is dedicated to finding a good alternative for that scenario. The so-called cross-platform frameworks that are being researched: Qt, Apache Cordova, React Native and Xamarin. Their pros and cons get valued against each other, in both a theoretical and a practical way. The goal is to find the most practical framework, build a comparable application and compare those with the native applications Zappware have built. The parameters by which those will get evaluated are: speed, memory, development effort and user experience.

# Cross-platform frameworks[[2]](#footnote-2)

Qt is a framework developed in C++ that among other things Android, iOS and Windows Phone supports. Applications are written in a combination of C++ and QML, which is a declarative scripting-language that uses JavaScript to build its logic with. Qt is a cross-platform framework, this means it can render one code on Android, iOS and Windows Phone. This cross-platform property exists since the C-preprocessor chunks of code enabled or disabled depending on the platform the application is running on. [5]

React Native is completely based on React, a JavaScript library build by Facebook to make big scalable web applications. React Native is a JavaScript framework build to render mobile application for iOS, Android and Universal Windows Programs (UWP). It is written in combination of JavaScript and JSX, which is a language comparable with XML. React Native uses the platform its own standard rendering API to create an application which will contain the same elements as a native designed app. Through this procedure the framework aims to possess the same look and feel as those native designed applications. [12]

Xamarin is a development framework which uses a .NET environment with iOS and Android C# libraries. While single-platform development is possible with Xamarin through those C# connections with native Android and iOS APIs, the cross-platform alternative is more interesting. Xamarin.Forms is a toolkit consisting of cross-platform UI-classes which can be considered as a bridge between the .NET environment and the single-platform libraries. This toolkit locates which of those libraries must be used depending on which platform its application is rendered on. The applications are coded in C# and XAML. [19]

Apache Cordova is an alternative which uses standard web technologies like HTML5, CSS3 and JavaScript for its cross-platform development. Applications run inside native application wrappers specifically build for each platform. A WebView renders onto that wrapper, which gets access to the device-level APIs like sensors, data, ... This WebView is basically a borderless browser where a UI gets rendered upon. This UI is made off native application components which the WebView can access through the OS of the device. [28]

# Theoretical comparison

To distinguish the frameworks from each other a comparison is made of various property’s. These include: The programming language, the way the framework renders the UI and the commercial use and its restrictions. After that a conclusion is made about the theoretical usefulness of the specific platforms. This conclusion gets used to determine which practical applications effectively get build.

React Native uses JavaScript and JSX for its code. Xamarin C# and XAML. Qt applications get developed in a combination of C++ and QML while Apache Cordova uses standard web application languages: HTML5, CSS3 and JavaScript. From this summary the conclusion can be made that only Apache Cordova exclusively uses languages which are already known and widely utilized. The rest of the frameworks has one language (JSX, XAML and QML) which is developed for the use in that specific framework only. Although it should be said those languages are all derived from a well-known alternative (respectively HTML, XML and CSS).

Looking at the way those frameworks render their UI, React Native and Xamarin both use native UI-controllers. Qt uses a mix of native components and the OpenGL API, this is a library that renders 2D and 3D vectors. Apache Cordova is the only framework which operates using a WebView.

Figure 1 Target application

A company is also interested in the financial side of such development platforms. All frameworks have an open-source version for developers to use. React Native and Xamarin have one under the MIT-license. [34] [35]

But while Xamarin has a paid Visual Studio IDE version, React Native does not. This means all features are enabled for React Native. Qt has an open-source LGPL v3-license or a GPLV2/GPLV3-licence, with an option for a commercial license. [37] Apache Cordova us an Apache licence version 2.0. Some platforms build upon Cordova like Adobe PhoneGap have paid versions though.

All things considered is React Native the framework with the most potential. Its combination of free-to-use, native UI-controllers and with JavaScript a very known widely used language. Since the look and feel of an application is one of the most important features, the Xamarin app is considered the second-best option since it also utilizes the native UI-controllers. This feature trumps the other options because it doesn’t use a form of bridge between the device and application, this would automatically mean some performance-issues e.g. delays, connection problems, ...

Because of time-related issues not all frameworks could be tested, and thus only two implementations were made. Due to this theoretical comparison the options who were chosen are React Native and Xamarin.

# Application

The application that shall be built through the chosen frameworks is a basic tv-guide application as seen in Figure 1. This is the app that Zappware has built for Android and iOS use. Although the layout will be different on the two frameworks, since the frameworks will sometimes use different components, the overall look stays the same. The goal will be to mimic this example as good as possible and after that conclude the limits and possibilities of the used cross-platform frameworks. After the applications are finished developing, those will get tested on various elements including: development effort, speed, memory and user experience.

# Tools

To test the created applications, some tools were used to determine some variables.

Testobject.com is an online test-API where applications can run through a cloud to determine their use on variant devices. The feature is

# Practical comparison

# Conclusion
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